

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

 I.1 THE MASSACHUSETTS ESTUARIES PROJECT APPROACH 4

 I.2 SITE DESCRIPTION 8

 I.3 NITROGEN LOADING 11

 I.4 WATER QUALITY MODELING 12

 I.5 REPORT DESCRIPTION 13

II. PREVIOUS STUDIES RELATED TO NITROGEN MANAGEMENT 14

III. DELINEATION OF WATERSHEDS 19

 III.1 BACKGROUND 19

 III.2 MODEL DESCRIPTION 20

 III.3 WEST FALMOUTH HARBOR CONTRIBUTORY AREAS 21

IV. WATERSHED NITROGEN LOADING TO EMBAYMENT: LAND USE, STREAM INPUTS, AND SEDIMENT NITROGEN RECYCLING 25

 IV.1 WATERSHED LAND USE BASED NITROGEN LOADING ANALYSIS 25

 IV.1.1 Land Use and Water Use Database Preparation 28

 IV.1.2 Nitrogen Loading Input Factors 30

 IV.1.3 Calculating Nitrogen Loads 37

 IV.2 ATTENUATION OF NITROGEN IN SURFACE WATER TRANSPORT 43

 IV.2.1 Background and Purpose 43

 IV.2.2 Surface water Discharge and Attenuation of Watershed Nitrogen: Stream Discharge to Mashapaquit Creek Marsh 47

 IV.2.3 Mashapaquit Creek Marsh: Attenuation of WWTF and Watershed Nitrogen Loads 51

 IV.3 BENTHIC REGENERATION OF NITROGEN IN BOTTOM SEDIMENTS 56

 IV.3.1 Sediment-Watercolumn Exchange of Nitrogen 56

 IV.3.2 Method for determining sediment-watercolumn nitrogen exchange 57

 IV.3.3 Rates of Summer Nitrogen Regeneration from Sediments 60

V. HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING 63

 V.1 INTRODUCTION 63

 V.2 GEOMORPHIC AND ANTHROPOGENIC EFFECTS TO THE SYSTEM 65

 V.3 FIELD DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS 71

 V.3.1 Bathymetry 71

 V.3.2 Water Elevation Measurements and Analysis 72

 V.4. HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING 79

 V.4.1 Model Theory 79

 V.4.2 Model Setup 80

 V.4.2.1 Grid Generation 80

 V.4.2.2 Boundary Condition Specification 82

 V.4.2.3 Calibration 83

 V.4.2.3.1 Friction Coefficients 83

 V.4.2.3.2 Turbulent Exchange Coefficients 84

 V.4.2.3.3 Marsh Porosity Processes 84

 V.4.2.3.4 Comparison of Modeled Tides and Measured Tide Data 84

 V.4.2.4 Model Verification Using Horizontal ADCP Measurements 87

 V.4.2.5 Model Circulation Characteristics 89

 V.5. FLUSHING CHARACTERISTICS 90

VI. WATER QUALITY MODELING 94

VI.1 DATA SOURCES FOR THE MODEL	94
VI.1.1 Hydrodynamics and Tidal Flushing in the Embayments	94
VI.1.2 Nitrogen Loading to the Embayments	94
VI.1.3 Measured Nitrogen Concentrations in the Embayments	94
VI.2 MODEL DESCRIPTION AND APPLICATION	95
VI.2.1 Model Formulation.....	96
VI.2.2 Water Quality Model Setup	97
VI.2.3 Boundary Condition Specification	97
VI.2.4 Model Calibration	98
VI.2.5 Model Salinity Verification	101
VI.2.6 Build-Out and No Anthropogenic Load Scenarios.....	102
VI.2.6.1 Build-Out.....	104
VI.2.6.2 No Anthropogenic Load	107
VII. ASSESSMENT OF EMBAYMENT NUTRIENT RELATED ECOLOGICAL HEALTH	110
VII.1 OVERVIEW OF BIOLOGICAL HEALTH INDICATORS	110
VII.2 BOTTOM WATER DISSOLVED OXYGEN.....	111
VII.3 EELGRASS DISTRIBUTION - TEMPORAL ANALYSIS.....	120
VII.4 BENTHIC INFAUNA ANALYSIS.....	125
VIII. CRITICAL NUTRIENT THRESHOLD DETERMINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF	
WATER QUALITY TARGETS	128
VIII.1. ASSESSMENT OF NITROGEN RELATED HABITAT QUALITY	128
VIII.2. THRESHOLD NITROGEN CONCENTRATIONS.....	132
VIII.3. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGET NITROGEN LOADS	134
IX. ALTERNATIVES TO IMPROVE TIDAL FLUSHING AND WATER QUALITY	138
IX.1 PRESENT LOADING WITH WWTF LOAD REMOVED	138
IX.2 ALTERNATE BUILD-OUT WITH NO SEWERING OF WEST FALMOUTH HARBOR	
WATERSHED.....	139
X. LIST OF REFERENCES	144

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure I-1. West Falmouth Harbor study region for the Massachusetts Estuaries Project nutrient analysis. Tidal waters enter the estuarine system through one inlet to Buzzards Bay. Freshwaters enter from the watershed primarily through 1 surface water discharge (Mashapaquit Creek upgradient of Chase Road) and direct groundwater discharge.	3
Figure I-2. Massachusetts Estuaries Project Critical Nutrient Threshold Analytical Approach. Section numbers refer to sections in this MEP report where the specified information is provided.	7
Figure III-1. Watershed and sub-watershed delineations for the West Falmouth Harbor estuary system. Approximate ten year time-of-travel delineations were produced for quality assurance purposes and are designated with a “10” in the watershed names (above). Sub-watersheds to embayments were selected based upon the functional estuarine sub-units in the water quality model (see section VI).	22
Figure III-2. Comparison of 1998 Cape Cod Commission and current West Falmouth Harbor watershed and subwatershed delineations.	24
Figure IV-1. Land-use coverage in the West Falmouth Harbor watershed. Land use classifications are based on assessors’ records provided by the Town of Falmouth.	27
Figure IV-2. Distribution of land uses within the West Falmouth Harbor subwatersheds. Only land uses with percentages greater than 5% are labeled.	29
Figure IV-3. Monitoring wells with high specific conductivity and high chloride concentrations used to check the total nitrogen concentrations associated with the Falmouth WWTF. Monitoring data from 1997-2005 and supplied by the Town of Falmouth was reviewed. (Graphic modified from Howes, et al., 1992).	34
Figure IV-4. Monitoring data from Town of Falmouth Wastewater Treatment Facility (2001-03).	35
Figure IV-5. Parcels, Parcelized Watersheds, and Developable Parcels in the West Falmouth Harbor watershed.	39
Figure IV-6 (a-c). Source-specific unattenuated nitrogen loads (by percent) to the (a) overall West Falmouth Harbor System watershed, (b) Mashapaquit Creek subwatershed, and (c) Snug Harbor subwatershed. “Overall Load” is the total nitrogen input within the watershed, while the “Local Control Load” represents only those nitrogen sources that could potentially be under local regulatory control (i.e., excludes atmospheric deposition to estuarine surface and natural areas).	41
Figure IV-6 (d-f). Source-specific unattenuated nitrogen loads (by percent) to the (d) Inner Harbor (South Basin) subwatershed, (e) Harbor Head subwatershed, (f) Oyster Pond subwatershed, and (g) Outer Harbor subwatershed. “Overall Load” is the total nitrogen input within the watershed, while the “Local Control Load” represents only those nitrogen sources that could potentially be under local regulatory control (i.e., excludes atmospheric deposition to estuarine surface and natural areas).	42
Figure IV-7. Location of Stream flow measurements (red circle) in the West Falmouth Harbor embayment system. Flow measurements obtained in	

Mashapaquit Creek at the Chase Road Culvert. Several studies of nitrogen transport from watershed source through Mashapaquit Creek prior to discharge to Snug Harbor support a removal rate of 40%.....47

Figure IV-8. Upper Mashapaquit Creek discharge at the Chase Road culvert with composite year flow (solid blue line), nitrate (yellow triangles) and total nitrogen (pink diamonds) concentrations used for determination of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from the upper watershed to the Mashapaquit Creek salt marsh at the head of Snug Harbor (Table IV-5).50

Figure IV-9: Location of West Falmouth Harbor and the Falmouth Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF). Heavy line represents the sub-watershed drained by Mashapaquit Creek Marsh, determined by the West Cape Model (Masterson et al., 1998). Solid blocks are WWTF infiltration beds; dotted areas 1-5 are spray irrigation areas (from Howes et al. 2000).52

Figure IV-10: Detail of southern watershed boundary (heavy line) to Mashapaquit Creek Marsh, determined by West Cape regional groundwater flow model (1998). Average water table contours (gray lines, contour interval 0.5 m above mean sea level) shown for 1993, determined from monitoring well data (black circles). Discharge to the WWTF infiltration beds (numbered squares) and spray irrigation areas (shaded areas) creates mounding of the water table and causes excursion of the southern watershed boundary (dashed lines show maximum range of excursion). 1992 watershed loading (~1 yr vertical travel time to groundwater) from WWTF sources calculated as a % of 1992 annual load proportional to fraction of time the source (or portion thereof) is located within the boundary.....54

Figure IV-11. Yearly nitrogen discharge from the Falmouth WWTF to groundwater within the Mashapaquit Creek Marsh watershed (black) and outside of the marsh watershed (gray).56

Figure IV-12. West Falmouth Harbor embayment system sediment sampling sites (yellow symbols) for determination of nitrogen regeneration rates. Numbers are for reference in Table IV-9 below.....59

Figure IV-13. Conceptual diagram showing the seasonal variation in sediment N flux, with maximum positive flux (sediment output) occurring in the summer months, and maximum negative flux (sediment up-take) during the winter months.....61

Figure V-1. Map of West Falmouth Harbor (from United States Geological Survey topographic map).63

Figure V-2. Wind rose for the BUZM3 Station located near the southern entrance to Buzzards Bay. Wind data is for the time 20-year time period between 1985 and 2004.66

Figure V-3. 2001 aerial photograph illustrating Chappaquoit and Little Islands forming the entrance to West Falmouth Harbor.67

Figure V-4. A portion of the U.S.G.S. 1893 map showing West Falmouth Harbor. This map depicts the condition of this system prior to the installation of jetties.68

Figure V-5. A portion of the U.S.G.S. 1941 map showing West Falmouth Harbor. This map depicts the condition of this system following initial development of Chappaquoit and Little Islands.69

Figure V-6. Flood levels at various locations in Buzzards Bay resulting from the September 21, 1938 Hurricane (USACE, 1939).....70

Figure V-7. Depth contour plot of the numerical grid for the hydrodynamic model. Depth values are relative to NGVD29.72

Figure V-8. Map of the study region identifying locations of the tide gauges used to measure water level variations throughout the system. Gauges were deployed from late June to early August, 2004. Each yellow circle represents the approximate locations of the tide gauges. Each blue line shows the location of an ADCP survey.73

Figure V-9. Water elevation variations as measured at the seven locations within the West Falmouth Harbor System.75

Figure V-10. Example of observed astronomical tide as the sum of its primary constituents. In this example the observed tide signal is the sum of individual constituents (M2, M4, K1, N2), with varying amplitude and frequency.....77

Figure V-11. Results of the harmonic analysis and the separation of the tidal from the non-tidal, or residual, signal measured in Buzzards Bay (Wfal-1).....79

Figure V-12. Plot of numerical grid (yellow) used for hydrodynamic modeling of West Falmouth Harbor.81

Figure V-13. Plot of numerical grid (yellow) used for hydrodynamic modeling of West Falmouth Harbor. Each different colored region represents a unique material type.....82

Figure V-14. Observed versus computed water level elevations for the Outer Harbor (Wfal-2), Mashapaquit Creek (Wfal-3) and the Town Landing (Wfal-4).85

Figure V-15. Observed versus computed water level elevations for Chappaquoit Basin (Wfal-5), Harbor Head (Wfal-6) and Oyster Pond (Wfal-7).....85

Figure V-16. Flow rate measurements collected on June 28 at transect A-3. The top plot shows measured and modeled flow rates while the bottom plot shows the offshore tide for the same time period.....88

Figure V-17. Flow rate measurements collected on June 28 at transect A-4. The top plot shows measured and modeled flow rates while the bottom plot shows the offshore tide for the same time period.....89

Figure V-18. Basins used to compute residence times for the system.93

Figure VI-1. Estuarine water quality monitoring station locations in the West Falmouth Harbor estuary system. Station labels correspond to those provided in Table VI-1.....96

Figure VI-2. Comparison of measured total nitrogen concentrations and calibrated model output at stations in the West Falmouth Harbor system. Station labels correspond with those provided in Table VI-1. Model output is presented as a range of values from minimum to maximum values computed during the simulation period (triangle markers), along with the average computed concentration for the same period (square markers). Measured data are presented as the total yearly mean at each station (circle markers), together with ranges that indicate \pm one standard deviation of the entire dataset 100

Figure VI-3. Model total nitrogen calibration target values are plotted against measured concentrations, together with the unity line. Computed correlation (R^2) and error (rms) for the model are also presented..... 100

Figure VI-4. Contour plot of average total nitrogen concentrations from results of the present conditions loading scenario, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. 101

Figure VI-5. Comparison of measured and calibrated model output at stations in West Falmouth Harbor. Stations labels correspond with those provided in Table VI-1. Model output is presented as a range of values from minimum to maximum values computed during the simulation period

(triangle markers), along with the average computed salinity for the same period (square markers). Measured data are presented as the total yearly mean at each station (circle markers), together with ranges that indicate \pm one standard deviation of the entire dataset. 103

Figure VI-6. Model salinity target values are plotted against measured concentrations, together with the unity line. Computed correlation (R^2) and error (rms) for each model are also presented. 103

Figure VI-7. Contour Plot of modeled salinity (ppt) in the West Falmouth Harbor system. 104

Figure VI-8. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the West Falmouth Harbor system, for projected build-out loading conditions. 107

Figure VI-9. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in West Falmouth Harbor, for no anthropogenic loading conditions. 109

Figure VII-1. Average watercolumn respiration rates (micro-Molar/day) from water collected throughout the Popponeset Bay System (Schlezinger and Howes, unpublished data). Rates vary \sim 7 fold from winter to summer as a result of variations in temperature and organic matter availability. 112

Figure VII-2. Dissolved Oxygen within the inner harbor basins of West Falmouth Harbor. Samples were collected at mid-ebb tide in July and August 1992-2004 (Goehringer and Howes unpublished data). Aerial Photograph of the West Falmouth Harbor system in Falmouth showing locations of Dissolved Oxygen mooring deployments conducted in the Summer of 2005. Note that the Outer/Mid site is also referred to as the North site in Figures VII-3 to VII-8. 113

Figure VII-3. Bottom water record of dissolved oxygen at the West Falmouth North station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. Note that "West Falmouth North" is the inner cove bounded by Old Field Point within the outer harbor region. 115

Figure VII-4. Bottom water record of dissolved oxygen in West Falmouth South station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. 115

Figure VII-5. Bottom water record of dissolved oxygen in Snug Harbor station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. 116

Figure VII-6. Bottom water record of Chlorophyll-*a* at the West Falmouth North station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. Note that "West Falmouth North" is the inner cove bounded by Old Field Point within the outer harbor region. 116

Figure VII-7. Bottom water record of Chlorophyll-*a* in the West Falmouth South station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. 117

Figure VII-8. Bottom water record of Chlorophyll-*a* in the Snug Harbor station, Summer 2005. Calibration samples represented as red dots. 117

Figure VII-9. Eelgrass bed distribution within the West Falmouth Harbor System. The 1995 coverage is depicted by the green outline inside of which circumscribes the eelgrass beds. The yellow (2001) areas were mapped by DEP. All data was provided by the DEP Eelgrass Mapping Program. 121

Figure VII-10. Eelgrass bed distribution within the West Falmouth Harbor System. The 1951 coverage is depicted by the dark green outline (hatched area) inside of which circumscribes the eelgrass beds. In the composite photograph, the light green outline depicts the 1995 eelgrass coverage and the yellow outlined areas circumscribe the eelgrass coverage in 2001. The 1995 and 2001 areas were mapped by DEP. All data was provided by the DEP Eelgrass Mapping Program. 122

Figure VII-11. Eelgrass bed distribution within the West Falmouth Harbor System. The 1979 coverage is depicted by the dark green area that describes the eelgrass beds..... 123

Figure VII-12. Eelgrass bed distribution within the West Falmouth Harbor System. The 1999 coverage is depicted by the dark green area that describes the eelgrass beds. 123

Figure VII-13. Aerial photograph of the West Falmouth Harbor system showing location of benthic infaunal sampling stations (red symbol)..... 126

Figure VIII-1. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the West Falmouth Harbor system, for threshold conditions (0.35 mg/L in Snug Harbor). 137

Figure IX-1. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the West Falmouth Harbor system, for present loading conditions and WWTF loads removed from the system watershed. 140

Figure IX-2. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the West Falmouth Harbor system, for buildout loading conditions with no sewerage of the West Falmouth Harbor watershed..... 143

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table III-1. Daily groundwater discharge from each of the sub-watersheds to the West Falmouth Harbor Estuary, as determined from the USGS groundwater model.....	23
Table IV-1. Percentage of unattenuated nitrogen loads in less than 10 time of travel subwatersheds to West Falmouth Harbor	26
Table IV-2. Average Water Use in West Falmouth Harbor Watershed.....	32
Table IV-3. Primary Nitrogen Loading Factors used in the West Falmouth Harbor MEP analyses. General factors are from MEP modeling evaluation (Howes & Ramsey 2001). Site-specific factors are derived from the Town of Falmouth. *Data from MEP lawn study in Falmouth, Mashpee & Barnstable 2001.	37
Table IV-4. Nitrogen Loads to the West Falmouth Harbor Estuary. Attenuation of system nitrogen loads occurs as nitrogen moves through Mashapaquit Creek during transport to the estuary. Wastewater loads represents on-site septic treatment and discharge from the Town of Falmouth Wastewater Treatment Facility. Note that the “Inner Harbor” is also called South Basin or Chappaquoit Basin and the “Outer Harbor” is the region between Old Field Point and the Harbor inlet.....	40
Table IV-5. Water flow and nitrogen discharges from Upper Mashapaquit Creek (above Chase Road culvert) discharging to Lower Mashapaquit Creek and the head of Snug Harbor. The “Stream” data is from the MEP stream flow measurement effort. Watershed data is based upon the MEP watershed modeling effort by USGS and CCC.	49
Table IV-6. Summary of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from Upper Mashapaquit Creek discharging to Lower Mashapaquit Creek salt marsh and the head of Snug Harbor based upon the data presented in Figure IV-8 and Table IV-5.	50
Table IV-7. Rates of net nitrogen return from sediments to the overlying waters of the West Falmouth Harbor embayment system. These values are combined with the basin areas to determine total nitrogen mass in the water quality model (see Chapter VI). Measurements represent July -August rates.....	62
Table V-1. Tidal statistics computed from records collected in the West Falmouth Harbor system June 30 - July 30, 2004. Elevations are given in feet relative to NGVD 27.	76
Table V-2. Tidal Constituents, West Falmouth Harbor System June 30 - July 30, 2004.	76
Table V-3. M ₂ Phase Delay, West Falmouth Harbor.....	77
Table V-4. Percentages of Tidal versus Non-Tidal Energy, West Falmouth Harbor.....	78
Table V-5. Manning’s Roughness and Eddy Viscosity coefficients used in simulations of modeled embayments. These delineations correspond to the material types shown in Figure V-13.....	84
Table V-6. Tidal constituents for measured water level data and calibrated model output	87
Table V-7. Embayment mean volumes and average tidal prism during simulation period.	91
Table V-8. Computed System and Local residence times for embayments in the system.....	92

Table VI-1.	Measured data and modeled Nitrogen concentrations for the West Falmouth Harbor estuarine system used in the model calibration plots of Figures VI-2 and VI-3. All concentrations are given in mg/L N. “Data mean” values are calculated as the average of the separate yearly means. Data represented in this table were collected in the summers of 1995 through 2004, except the Buzzards Bay station, which are from the summer of 1991.	95
Table VI-2.	Sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux. These loads represent present loading conditions for the listed sub-embayments.	98
Table VI-3.	Values of longitudinal dispersion coefficient, E, used in calibrated RMA4 model runs of salinity and nitrogen concentration for the West Falmouth Harbor estuary system.	99
Table VI-4.	Comparison of sub-embayment watershed loads used for modeling of present, build-out, and no-anthropogenic (“no-load”) loading scenarios of the West Falmouth Harbor system. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.	104
Table VI-5.	Build-out sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux.	105
Table VI-6.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the build-out scenario, with percent change, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. The sentinel threshold station is in bold print.	106
Table VI-7.	“No anthropogenic loading” (“no load”) sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux.	108
Table VI-8.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the no anthropogenic (“no load”) scenario, with percent change, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. Loads are based on atmospheric deposition and a scaled N benthic flux (scaled from present conditions). The sentinel threshold station is in bold print.	108
Table VII-1.	Duration of deployment time of in situ sensors that bottom water oxygen levels were below various benchmark oxygen levels. Note that “West Falmouth North” is the inner cove bounded by Old Field Point within the outer harbor region.	118
Table VII-2.	Duration of deployment time that chlorophyll a levels exceed various benchmark levels within the embayment system. “Mean” represents the average duration of each event over the benchmark level and “S.D.” its standard deviation. Data collected by the Coastal Systems Program, SMAST. Note that “West Falmouth North” is the inner cove bounded by Old Field Point within the outer harbor region.	119
Table VII-3.	Changes in eelgrass coverage in the West Falmouth Harbor Embayment System within the Town of Falmouth over the past half century (C. Costello). Note that the 1995 and 2001 coverages include areas with very sparse eelgrass as well as functional eelgrass beds.	125
Table VII-4.	Benthic infaunal community data for the West Falmouth Harbor embayment system. Estimates of the number of species adjusted to the number of individuals and diversity (H') and Evenness (E) of the	

	community allow comparison between locations (Samples represent surface area of 0.0625 m ²). Values are averages of grab samples a-c.....	127
Table VIII-1.	Summary of Nutrient Related Habitat Health within the West Falmouth Harbor Estuary on the Buzzards Bay coast of Falmouth, MA., based upon assessment data presented in Chapter VII.	132
Table VIII-2.	Comparison of sub-embayment watershed septic loads (attenuated) used for modeling of present and threshold loading scenarios of the West Falmouth Harbor system. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface), benthic flux, runoff, or fertilizer loading terms.	135
Table VIII-3.	Comparison of sub-embayment total watershed loads (including septic, runoff, and fertilizer, and the WWTF) used for modeling of present and threshold loading scenarios of the West Falmouth Harbor system. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.....	135
Table VIII-4.	Comparison of Falmouth WWTF loads to West Falmouth Harbor for present and build-out.....	136
Table VIII-5.	Threshold sub-embayment loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux	136
Table VIII-6.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the threshold scenario, with percent change, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. Loads are based on atmospheric deposition and a scaled N benthic flux (scaled from present conditions). The threshold station is shown in bold print.....	136
Table IX-1.	Comparison of sub-embayment total watershed loads (including septic, runoff, and fertilizer, and the WWTF) used for modeling of present loading scenarios of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with and without the WWTF load. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.....	138
Table IX-2.	Sub-embayment loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system for present loading scenario with WWTF load removed, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux.....	138
Table IX-3.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading scenarios (with and without the WWTF load), with percent change, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. The threshold station is shown in bold print.	139
Table IX-4.	Comparison of sub-embayment watershed septic loads (attenuated) used for modeling of present and buildout loading scenario with no sewerage of the West Falmouth Harbor watershed. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface), benthic flux, runoff, or fertilizer loading terms.....	141
Table IX-5.	Comparison of sub-embayment total watershed loads (including septic, runoff, and fertilizer, and the WWTF) used for modeling of present and buildout loading scenario with no sewerage of the West Falmouth Harbor watershed. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.....	141
Table IX-6.	Sub-embayment loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the West Falmouth Harbor system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N	

loads, and benthic flux, for buildout loading scenario with no sewerage of the West Falmouth Harbor watershed..... 141

Table IX-7. Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and for buildout loading scenario with no sewerage of the West Falmouth Harbor watershed, with percent change, for the West Falmouth Harbor system. The threshold station is shown in bold print..... 142