


MASSACHUSETTS ESTUARIES PROJECT

Linked Watershed-Embayment Model to Determine Critical Nitrogen Loading Thresholds for the Oyster Pond System, Falmouth, Massachusetts



University of Massachusetts Dartmouth
School of Marine Science and Technology



Massachusetts Department of
Environmental Protection

Massachusetts Estuaries Project

Linked Watershed-Embayment Model to Determine Critical Nitrogen Loading Thresholds for Oyster Pond System, Falmouth, Massachusetts

FINAL REPORT – January 2006



Brian Howes
Roland Samimy
David Schlezinger



Sean Kelley
John Ramsey



Ed Eichner

Contributors:

US Geological Survey

Don Walters and John Masterson

Applied Coastal Research and Engineering, Inc.

Elizabeth Hunt

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Brian Dudley (DEP project manager)

SMAST Coastal Systems Program

George Hampson, Sara Sampieri, Jen Antosca, and Michael Bartlett

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Massachusetts Estuaries Project Technical Team would like to acknowledge the contributions of the many individuals who have worked tirelessly for the restoration and protection of the critical coastal resources of the Oyster Pond System. Without these stewards and their efforts, this project would not have been possible.

First and foremost is the significant time and effort in data collection and discussion spent by members of the Falmouth PondWatch Water Quality Monitoring Program, particularly B. Norris, J. Dowling, B. Livingstone, J. Rankin M. Zinn, D. Zinn. These individuals gave of their time to collect nutrient samples from this system over many years and without this information the present analysis would not have been possible. In addition, over the years the Oyster Pond Environmental Trust (OPET) has worked tirelessly with SMAST Coastal Systems Staff, engineers from Applied Coastal Research and Engineering and the Town of Falmouth Engineering Department in order to develop a management strategy for this system. This partnership has resulted in the reconstruction of the tidal inlet and the construction of the salinity control structure/fish ladder. Similarly, a thank you is extended to the Herring Warden and the many in the Town of Falmouth who worked diligently on this effort, particularly in the Planning Department. The technical team would like to specifically acknowledge the efforts of A. Lowell, B. Currie and G. Calise for helping to coordinate the Falmouth efforts with the MEP.

In addition to local contributions, technical, policy and regulatory support has been freely and graciously provided by Tom Cambareri and Margo Fenn of the Cape Cod Commission; MaryJo Feurbach, Art Clark and Nora Conlon of the USEPA; and our MADEP colleagues: Andrew Gottlieb (now at ODC), Arleen O'Donnell, Art Screpetis, Rick Dunn, Steve Halterman, and Russ Issacs. We are also thankful for the long hours in the field and laboratory spent by the technical staff, interns and students within the Coastal Systems Program at SMAST-UMD.

Support for this project was provided by the Town of Falmouth (Engineering and Sewer Departments), Barnstable County, MADEP, and the USEPA.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Howes, B.L., S. W. Kelley, J.S. Ramsey, E.M. Eichner, R.I. Samimy, D.R. Schlezinger (2006). Linked Watershed-Embayment Model to Determine Critical Nitrogen Loading Thresholds for the Oyster Pond System, Falmouth, MA. SMAST/DEP Massachusetts Estuaries Project, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Boston, MA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

 I.1 THE MASSACHUSETTS ESTUARIES PROJECT APPROACH 4

 I.2 SITE DESCRIPTION 9

 I.3 NITROGEN LOADING 13

 I.4 WATER QUALITY MODELING 15

 I.5 REPORT DESCRIPTION 16

II. PREVIOUS STUDIES RELATED TO NITROGEN MANAGEMENT 17

III. DELINEATION OF WATERSHEDS 20

 III.1 BACKGROUND 20

 III.2 MODEL DESCRIPTION 20

 III.3 OYSTER POND CONTRIBUTORY AREAS 21

**IV. WATERSHED NITROGEN LOADING TO EMBAYMENT: LAND USE, STREAM
 INPUTS, AND SEDIMENT NITROGEN RECYCLING 25**

 IV.1 WATERSHED LAND USE BASED NITROGEN LOADING ANALYSIS 25

 IV.1.1 Land Use and Database Preparation 26

 IV.1.2 Nitrogen Loading Input Factors 28

 IV.1.3 Calculating Nitrogen Loads 35

 IV.2 ATTENUATION OF NITROGEN IN SURFACE WATER TRANSPORT 38

 IV.2.1 Background and Purpose 38

 IV.2.2 Surface water Discharge and Attenuation of Watershed Nitrogen:
 Mosquito/Quivett Creek Discharge to Oyster Pond (upper) 42

 IV.3 BENTHIC REGENERATION OF NITROGEN IN BOTTOM SEDIMENTS 49

 IV.3.1 Sediment-Watercolumn Exchange of Nitrogen 49

 IV.3.2 Method for determining sediment-watercolumn nitrogen exchange 50

 Oyster Pond Estuary 52

 IV.3.3 Rates of Summer Nitrogen Regeneration from Sediments 52

V. HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING 56

 V.1 INTRODUCTION 56

 V.1.1 System Physical Setting 56

 V.1.2 System Hydrodynamic Setting 57

 V.2 GEOMORPHIC AND ANTHROPOGENIC EFFECTS TO THE SYSTEM 59

 V.2.1 Coastal Processes and Inlet Stability 60

 V.2.2 Shoreline Change Analysis 61

 V.2.3 Inlet Management Implications 66

 V.3 HYDRODYNAMIC FIELD DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS 66

 V.3.1 Bathymetry 67

 V.3.2 Tide Data Collection and Analysis 67

 V.4 HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT 74

 V.4.1 Weir model development 74

 V.4.2 Application of the weir model 76

 V.4.2 RMA2 model development 79

VI. WATER QUALITY MODELING 81

 VI.1 DATA SOURCES FOR THE MODEL 81

VI.1.1 Hydrodynamics and Tidal Flushing in the Embayments	81
VI.1.2 Nitrogen Loading to the Embayments	81
VI.1.3 Measured Nitrogen Concentrations in the Embayments.....	81
VI.2 MODEL DESCRIPTION AND APPLICATION	82
VI.2.1 Model Formulation.....	83
VI.2.2 Water Quality Model Setup	84
VI.2.3 Boundary Condition Specification	84
VI.2.4 Model Calibration	85
VI.2.5 Model Salinity Verification	87
VI.2.6 Build-Out and No Anthropogenic Load Scenarios.....	89
VI.2.6.1 Build-Out.....	89
VI.2.6.2 No Anthropogenic Load	91
VII. ASSESSMENT OF EMBAYMENT NUTRIENT RELATED ECOLOGICAL HEALTH	93
VII.1 OVERVIEW OF BIOLOGICAL HEALTH INDICATORS.....	94
VII.2 BOTTOM WATER DISSOLVED OXYGEN.....	94
VII.3 EELGRASS DISTRIBUTION - TEMPORAL ANALYSIS.....	98
VII.4 BENTHIC INFAUNA ANALYSIS	98
VIII. CRITICAL NUTRIENT THRESHOLD DETERMINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF WATER QUALITY TARGETS	101
VIII.1. ASSESSMENT OF NITROGEN RELATED HABITAT QUALITY	101
VIII.2. THRESHOLD NITROGEN CONCENTRATIONS	102
VIII.3. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGET NITROGEN LOADS	104
IX. LIST OF REFERENCES	111

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I-1. Study region proximal to the Oyster Pond embayment system for the Massachusetts Estuaries Project nutrient analysis. Tidal waters periodically enter the salt pond through one inlet to Vineyard Sound. Freshwaters enter from the watershed primarily through 1 surface water discharge (stream to the head of Oyster Pond) and direct groundwater discharge.....3

Figure I-2. Massachusetts Estuaries Project Critical Nutrient Threshold Analytical Approach.....8

Figure I-3. Partitioning of the Oyster Pond embayment system relative to the three main basins, used for volume calculations.....10

Figure I-4. Oyster Pond embayment bathymetry (from K.O. Emery 1997).....11

Figure I-5. Physiographic units of the land adjacent the Oyster Pond embayment system (from K.O. Emery 1997).....12

Figure III-1. Watershed and sub-watershed delineations for the Oyster Pond estuary system. Approximate ten year time-of-travel delineations were produced for quality assurance purposes and are designated with a “10” in the watershed names (above). Sub-watersheds to embayments were selected based upon the functional estuarine sub-units in the water quality model (see section VI).22

Figure III-2. Comparison of previous and current Oyster Pond watershed and subwatershed delineations.....24

Figure IV-1. Land-use coverage in the Oyster Pond watershed. Watershed data encompasses portions of the Town of Falmouth and land use classifications are based on Town of Falmouth Planning Department records.....27

Figure IV-2. Distribution of land-uses within the major subwatersheds and whole watershed to Oyster Pond.....29

Figure IV-3. Parcels, Parcelized Watersheds, and Developable Parcels in the Oyster Pond watersheds. The Developable Commercial Parcel is owned by WHOI.....33

Figure IV-4 (a-c). Land use-specific unattenuated nitrogen load (by percent) to the (a) Oyster Pond Main subwatershed, (b) Oyster Pond South subwatershed, and (c) Mosquito Creek subwatershed. “Overall Load” is the total nitrogen input within the watershed, while the “Local Control Load” represents only those nitrogen sources that could potentially be under local regulatory control.....37

Figure IV-5. Location of stream gauge on Mosquito/Quivett Creek (red triangle), which discharges to the brackish waters of Oyster Pond.....41

Figure IV-6. Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) discharge (solid pink line) and nitrate+nitrite (blue boxes) concentrations for determination of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from the Mosquito Creek sub-watershed to the Oyster Pond system (Table IV-5).....45

Figure IV-7. Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) discharge (solid pink line) and total nitrogen (yellow triangles) concentrations for determination of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from the Mosquito Creek sub-watershed to the Oyster Pond System (Table IV-5).....46

Figure IV-8. Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) discharge (solid pink line), Particulate Organic Nitrogen (green diamonds) and Dissolved Organic Nitrogen (blue

	triangles) concentrations for determination of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from the Mosquito Creek sub-watershed to the Oyster Pond System.	47
Figure IV-9.	Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) discharge (solid pink line), Particulate Organic Nitrogen (green diamonds) and Dissolved Organic Nitrogen (blue triangles) loads for determination of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from the Mosquito Creek sub-watershed to the Oyster Pond System.	48
Figure IV-10.	Oyster Pond embayment system sediment sampling sites (red triangles) for determination of nitrogen regeneration rates. Blue diamond represents stream gauge location on Mosquito/Quivett Creek. Numbers are for reference in Table IV-7.	51
Figure IV-11.	Total nitrogen concentrations in the Oyster Pond mixed layer (0-4m) courtesy of Falmouth PondWatch. Day 0 is in mid July (~15-July) in each year, 1997-2004.	55
Figure V-1.	Topographic map detail of Oyster Pond, in Falmouth, Massachusetts, with annotations indicating the location of the salinity control structure (weir), Lagoon, and Truck River inlet.....	57
Figure V-2.	Schematic cross-sectional view of the Oyster Pond salinity control/fish weir (facing into the pond), with dimensions and elevations (not drawn to scale). Weir crest elevations are as observed in March 2001 and August 2003.	58
Figure V-3.	View (circa 1999) looking offshore into Vineyard Sound from the Trunk River inlet prior to reconstruction of the jetties and inlet channel (photograph credit: John Ramsey).	59
Figure V-4.	A portion of an 1800 map showing the south coast of Falmouth and Mashpee. This map depicts the condition of these inlets prior to the installation of jetties.	61
Figure V-5.	The 2001 aerial photograph showing scaled transects that indicate computed shoreline change rates between 1938 and 2004.....	65
Figure V-6.	Shoreline change data distribution calculated along the south coast of Falmouth (from 2500 ft southwest of the Trunk River jetties to Falmouth Harbor) between 1938 and 2004.....	66
Figure V-7.	The Oyster Pond weir and canoe set-up for the bathymetry survey of the Pond in August 2003 (photograph credit: Sean Kelley).....	68
Figure V-8.	Bathymetry survey lines (yellow) followed by canoe in Oyster Pond. Tide gauge stations in the Pond and Lagoon are indicated by yellow dots T2 and T3. The final RMA2 grid used for hydrodynamic and water quality modeling is also shown as the white triangular mesh.	69
Figure V-9.	Tide records from March 2001 deployment period for Oyster Pond. Captured in the data are four storm events, at March 7th, 14th, 23rd, and 30th.	70
Figure V-10.	Oyster Pond tides collected during a quiescent summer period in 2003. The bathymetry survey of Oyster Pond was performed August 25.	70
Figure V-11.	Example of observed astronomical tide as the sum of its primary constituents. In this example the observed tide signal is the sum of individual constituents (M2, M4, K1, N2), with varying amplitude and frequency.....	71
Figure V-12.	Results of the harmonic analysis and the separation of the tidal from the non-tidal, or residual, signal measured offshore Oyster Pond in Vineyard Sound during the 2001 gauge deployment.....	72

Figure V-13. Results of the harmonic analysis and the separation of the tidal from the non-tidal, or residual, signal measured offshore Oyster Pond in Vineyard Sound during the 2003 gauge deployment..... 73

Figure V-14. Oyster Pond weir overtopped from the Lagoon side during the early March 2001 northeast storm. At the time of the photograph, the culvert under Oyster Pond Road is completely submerged (photograph credit: Sean Kelley). 77

Figure V-15. Close up view of the Oyster Pond weir as it is overtopped from the Lagoon side during the northeast storm of March 6 and 7, 2001. For this photograph, left is toward the pond (photograph credit: Sean Kelley)..... 77

Figure V-16. Detail of the March 2001 tide record from Oyster Pond. 78

Figure V-17. Comparison of measured tide data and weir model output for a northeast storm event (R^2 correlation coefficient of 0.94, rms error of 0.04 ft). Also plotted are water level variations measured in the Trunk River lagoon, used as the boundary condition for the weir model. This simulation begins at 1200h EST March 5, 2001..... 79

Figure V-18. Weir model output flow rate over the Oyster Pond weir during the March 2001 northeast storm event..... 79

Figure V-19. Interpolated bathymetric contours of the final RMA2 computational mesh of Oyster Pond. Depth contours are relative to the NGVD 29 vertical datum, and are at 3-foot intervals..... 80

Figure VI-1. Estuarine water quality monitoring station locations in Oyster Pond. Station labels correspond to those provided in Table VI-1. 82

Figure VI-2. Comparison of measured total nitrogen concentrations and calibrated model output at stations in the Oyster Pond system. For the left plots, station labels correspond with those provided in Table VI-1. Model output is presented as a range of values from minimum to maximum values computed during the simulation period (triangle markers), along with the average computed concentration for the same period (square markers). Measured data are presented as the total yearly mean at each station (circle markers), together with ranges that indicate \pm one standard deviation of the entire dataset. For the plots to the right, model calibration target values are plotted against measured concentrations, together with the unity line. 86

Figure VI-3. Contour plot of average total nitrogen concentrations from results of the present conditions loading scenario, for Oyster Pond..... 87

Figure VI-4. Comparison of measured and calibrated model output at stations in the Great Pond. For the left plots, stations labels correspond with those provided in Table VI-1. Model output is presented as a range of values from minimum to maximum values computed during the simulation period (triangle markers), along with the average computed salinity for the same period (square markers). Measured data are presented as the total yearly mean at each station (circle markers), together with ranges that indicate \pm one standard deviation of the entire dataset. For the plots to the right, model calibration target values are plotted against measured concentrations, together with the unity line. 88

Figure VI-5. Contour Plot of modeled salinity (ppt) in Oyster Pond..... 88

Figure VI-6. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in Oyster Pond, for projected build-out loading conditions..... 90

Figure VI-7. Contour plot of modeled total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in Oyster Pond, for no anthropogenic loading conditions. 92

Figure VII-1.	Salinity of Oyster Pond surface waters over the past 60 years. The salinity increase over 4 ppt from 1987-1995 resulted from the installation of a new culvert in the tidal channel between the Lagoon and the main basin. The recent lowering and stabilization of the salinity has resulted from the installation of a salinity control/fish weir upgradient of the culvert. Salinity reconstruction courtesy of B.L. Howes.	93
Figure VII-2.	Average watercolumn respiration rates (micro-Molar/day) from water collected throughout the Popponesset Bay System (Howes et al., 2004). Rates vary ~7 fold from winter to summer as a result of variations in temperature and organic matter availability.....	95
Figure VII-3.	Bottom water dissolved oxygen levels measured by Falmouth PondWatch during July and August, determined from grab samples and Winkler Titrations (to 0.5 mg/L). Note that OP 1, 4 m (within the enclosed northern basin) and OP 3, 6 meters (within the deep southern basin) periodically go anoxic. The benchmark for the threshold analysis is OP-3 at 4 meters, this site had D.O. > 3 mg/L on 96% of sampling dates.	97
Figure VII-4.	Aerial photograph of Oyster Pond showing location of benthic infaunal sampling stations (red symbol).....	99
Figure VIII-1.	Contour plot of modeled average total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the Oyster Pond system, for threshold conditions (minimum 3.8 mg/L Dissolved Oxygen pond-wide).....	108
Figure VIII-2.	Contour plot of modeled average total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the Oyster Pond system, for threshold conditions (minimum 5.0 mg/L Dissolved Oxygen pond-wide).....	109
Figure VIII-3.	Contour plot of modeled average total nitrogen concentrations (mg/L) in the Oyster Pond system, for threshold conditions (minimum 6.0 mg/L Dissolved Oxygen pond-wide).....	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table III-1. Daily groundwater discharge to each of the sub-embayments in the Oyster Pond system, as determined from the USGS groundwater model.23

Table IV-1. Percentage of unattenuated nitrogen loads in less than 10 year time of travel subwatersheds to Oyster Pond. Note that Oyster Pond South is to the salt marsh Lagoon which down-gradient from Oyster Pond proper.26

Table IV-2. Average Water Use in Oyster Pond Watershed.32

Table IV-3. Primary Nitrogen Loading Factors used in Oyster Pond MEP analysis. General factors are from MEP modeling evaluation (Howes & Ramsey 2001). Site-specific factors are derived from Falmouth data. *Data from MEP lawn study in Falmouth, Mashpee & Barnstable 2001.34

Table IV-4. Oyster Pond Nitrogen Loads. Attenuation of system nitrogen loads occurs as nitrogen moves through the Mosquito Creek stream system during transport to the estuary. Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen to the estuarine surface was determined (main basin = 282 kg yr⁻¹, Lagoon = 10 kg yr⁻¹) and used in the modeling but was not included in this table.36

Table IV-5. Comparison of water flow and nitrogen discharges from Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) discharging to Oyster Pond. The “Stream” data is from the MEP stream gauging effort. Watershed data is based upon the MEP watershed modeling effort by USGS and CCC.43

Table IV-6. Summary of annual volumetric discharge and nitrogen load from Mosquito Creek (Quivett Creek) to the head of Oyster Pond based upon the data presented in Figures IV-6, 7, 8, 9 and Table IV-5.44

Table IV-7. Rates of net nitrogen return from sediments to the overlying waters of the Oyster Pond embayment system. These values are combined with the basin area to determine total nitrogen mass for the water quality model (see Section VI). Measurements represent July -August rates. The negative nitrogen return is consistent with Oyster Pond’s physical structure as a brackish kettle pond.52

Table V-1. Estimates of potential error associated with shoreline position surveys.65

Table V-2. Tidal Constituents for stations in the Lagoon Pond System, from data collected July 25, 2003 14 to September 11, 2003.71

Table V-3. Tide datums computed from tide record collected in Vineyard Sound July 25, 2003 14 to August 25, 2003. Datum elevations are given relative to NGVD 27.74

Table V-4. Model input parameters used for simulations of the Oyster Pond weir.78

Table VI-1. Falmouth PondWatch measured data, and modeled Nitrogen concentrations for the Oyster Pond system used in the model calibration plots of Figure VI-2. All concentrations are given in mg/L N. “Data mean” values are calculated as the average of the separate yearly means.82

Table VI-2. Sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the Oyster Pond system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux. These loads represent **present loading conditions** for the listed sub-embayments.85

Table VI-3. Comparison of sub-embayment watershed loads used for modeling of present, build-out, and no-anthropogenic (“no-load”) loading scenarios of Oyster Pond. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.89

Table VI-4.	Build-out sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of Oyster Pond, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux.....	90
Table VI-5.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the build-out scenario, with percent change, Oyster Pond.	90
Table VI-6.	“No anthropogenic loading” (“no load”) sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling Oyster Pond, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux	91
Table VI-7.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the no anthropogenic (“no load”) scenario, with percent change, for Oyster Pond. Loads are based on atmospheric deposition and a scaled N benthic flux (scaled from present conditions).	91
Table VII-1.	Frequency of grab samples for summer chlorophyll a levels above various benchmark levels within the surface waters (0-3.5m) of the Oyster Pond System. Data courtesy of the Falmouth PondWatch Water Quality Monitoring Program and Coastal Systems Program, SMAST. Geometric averages were used to estimate “average” conditions, given the periodic phytoplankton blooms.	97
Table VII-2.	Benthic infaunal community data for the Oyster Pond embayment system. Estimates of the number of species adjusted to the number of individuals and diversity (H’) and Evenness (E) of the community allow comparison between locations (Samples represent surface area of 0.0625 m2).	100
Table VIII-1.	Average total N concentrations to achieve target bottom water oxygen minima. Based upon modeled nitrogen reductions for the Oyster Pond system. Selected sentinel station is OP-3	103
Table VIII-2.	Comparison of sub-embayment watershed septic loads (attenuated) used for modeling of present and threshold loading scenarios of the Oyster Pond system. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface), benthic flux, runoff, or fertilizer loading terms.	105
Table VIII-3.	Comparison of sub-embayment total attenuated watershed loads (including septic, runoff, and fertilizer) used for modeling of present and threshold loading scenarios of the Oyster Pond system. These loads do not include direct atmospheric deposition (onto the sub-embayment surface) or benthic flux loading terms.....	106
Table VIII-4.	Threshold sub-embayment and surface water loads used for total nitrogen modeling of the Oyster Pond system, with total watershed N loads, atmospheric N loads, and benthic flux.....	106
Table VIII-5.	Comparison of model average total N concentrations from present loading and the modeled threshold scenario, with percent change, for the Oyster Pond system. Sentinel threshold stations are in bold print.	107